



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DR. ABHINAV AGGARWAL
100 SAINT AYERS WAY
CHAPEL HILL NC 27517

MAILED

JUN 02 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Aggarwal

Application No. 10/735,333

Filed: December 12, 2003

Attorney Docket No.

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed on May 9, 2011.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The record reflects that a final Office action was mailed on October 8, 2010, allowing a shortened statutory period for reply of three months from its mailing date. Extensions of the time set for reply were available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). A response was filed on January 7, 2011. By an Advisory Action mailed January 19, 2011, petitioner was advised that the response filed January 7, 2011, failed to place the application in condition for allowance. No further responses were received within the allowable period, and the application became abandoned on January 9, 2011. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 19, 2011. The instant petition was filed on May 9, 2011. Petitioner maintains response to the October 8, 2010, Office action was timely transmitted via facsimile on March 4, 2011, but apparently not received by the Office.

Section 711.03 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* provides guidance where, as in this case, petitioner is arguing that a timely response to the Office action was mailed and provides, in pertinent part, that:

Where a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8, but not a postcard receipt, is relied upon in a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, see 37 CFR 1.8(b) and MPEP § 512. As stated in 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) the statement that attests to the previous timely mailing or transmission of the correspondence must be on a personal knowledge basis, or to the satisfaction of the Director of the USPTO. If the statement attesting to the previous timely mailing is not made by the person who signed the Certificate of Mailing (i.e., there is no personal knowledge basis), then the statement attesting to the previous timely mailing should include evidence that supports the conclusion that the correspondence was actually mailed (e.g., copies of a mailing log establishing that correspondence was mailed for that application). When the correspondence is shown to have been timely filed based on a certificate of mailing, the correspondence is entered into PALM with the actual date of receipt (i.e., the date that the duplicate copy of the papers was filed with the statement under 37 CFR 1.8).

37 CFR 1.8(b) also permits applicant to notify the Office of a previous mailing or transmission of correspondence and submit a statement under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) accompanied by a duplicate copy of the correspondence when a reasonable amount of time (e.g., more than one month) has elapsed from the time of mailing or transmitting of the correspondence. Applicant does not have to wait until the application becomes abandoned before notifying the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence. Applicant should check the private Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system for the status of the correspondence before notifying the Office. See MPEP § 512.

The above-cited section of the MPEP explains that in order for correspondence to receive a filing date as of the date it is transmitted to the Office, the correspondence must contain a proper certificate of mailing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8. Correspondence may also receive the date of the receipt with the USPTO if petitioner provides an itemized Office date-stamped postcard, or Auto-reply Acknowledgement Receipt, in the case of a facsimile transmission, whereby the USPTO acknowledges receipt of the item mailed. There is no evidence that petitioner used the procedures provided in 37 CFR 1.8¹ and/or 1.10, which, if properly utilized, would allow a filing to be accorded a filing date as of the date mailed or deposited, respectively, rather than the date the filing was received by the Office. The certificate of mailing procedures under 37 CFR 1.8 allow for a filing date to be accorded as of the date the filing was mailed rather than the date the filing was received by the Office provided the procedures set out in 37 CFR 1.8 are followed and the filing is not excepted under 37 CFR 1.8(2)(i). The procedures under 37 CFR 1.10 allow correspondence deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail Service pursuant to

1

It is noted that 37 CFR 1.8 provides that:

(a) Except in the situations enumerated in paragraph (a)(2) of this section or as otherwise expressly excluded in this chapter, correspondence required to be filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office within a set period of time will be considered as being timely filed if the procedure described in this section is followed. The actual date of receipt will be used for all other purposes.

(1) Correspondence will be considered as being timely filed if:

(i) The correspondence is mailed or transmitted prior to expiration of the set period of time by being:

(A) Addressed as set out in § 1.1(a) and deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail; or

(B) Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with § 1.6(d);

(C) Transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4); and

(ii) The correspondence includes a certificate for each piece of correspondence stating the date of deposit or transmission. The person signing the certificate should have reasonable basis to expect that the correspondence would be mailed or transmitted on or before the date indicated.

A certificate of mailing as provided by the United States Postal Service is not the certificate of mailing which is referenced by 37 CFR 1.8 cited above. The certificate of mailing referenced by 37 CFR 1.8 consists of a statement that must be affixed to the correspondence in question indicating that paper was deposited with the United States Postal Service first-class mail on a particular date. Applicant is directed to Section 512 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure for further guidance on what a certificate of mailing as contemplated by 37 CFR 1.8 looks like and how it should appear on the paper to be filed.

37 CFR 1.10 to be accorded a filing date as of the date-in shown on the Express Mail label rather than the date the filing was received by the Office. Filings made by any other mail service, i.e., first class postage, USPS certified mail, FEDEX, Priority Mail, will not receive the benefit of 37 CFR 1.10.

The holding of abandonment will cannot be withdrawn because petitioner has not provided *prima facie* evidence that a proper response, and any necessary fee for an extension of time, was transmitted to the Office within the period for reply, and has not provided a certificate of mailing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8, or an USPTO date-stamped postcard or Auto-Reply Acknowledgement receipt evidencing the same. The petition is dismissed accordingly

Alternatively, petitioner may revive the application based on unintentional abandonment under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (enclosed). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by the required reply, the required petition fee (\$1620.00 for a large entity and \$810.00 for a verified small entity), and a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Petitioner may use the enclosed form to file this petition.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300
 Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned (571) 272-3222.

/Kenya A. McLaughlin/

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: FORM PTO/SB/64